

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/667,260	SCHUNK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Omar Rojas	2874	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Omar Rojas. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Laurence Greenberg. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 October 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

*U.S. Pat. No. 4,410,469 to Katagiri et al.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.




AKM ENAYET ULLAH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed amending claim 21 in view of the Katagiri reference. The proposed amendment was agreed to by Laurence Greenberg on October 12, 2004 via a telephone message and has been incorporated into the attached Examiner's amendment.



AKM ENAYET ULLAH  
PRIMARY EXAMINER